



DOI: 10.19187/abc.20163392-96 Relationship between Hardiness and Marital Satisfaction in Women with Breast Cancer

Farideh Nabizadeh*^a, Abed Mahdavi^b

^a General Medicine, Research, Education and Extension of Agriculture Organization, Tehran, Iran ^b Department of Psychology, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, University of Payam Noor, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

analysis.

Received: 31 January 2016 Revised: 6 February 2016 Accepted: 5 August 2016

Keywords: Hardiness, breast cancer, marital satisfaction

Introduction

Breast cancer is one of the most common types of cancer among women worldwide and causes a high rate of morbidity annually. Breast cancer treatment methods (surgical treatment, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and hormonal therapy) are associated with adverse effects on the patient's lifestyle and quality of life.^{1,2} When a couple confronts cancer, they experience psychosocial issues such as intimacy dissatisfaction, marital distress, and fear of separation.^{3,4} Studies have shown that women with

Address for correspondence: Farideh Nabizadeh Address: Research, Education and Extension of Agriculture Oraganization, Tabnak St., Tehran, Iran Tel/Fax: +98 21 22400083 Email: nabizadehfarideh44@gmail.com breat cancer have maladjustment in the relationship with their spouse as a result of the long treatment course, risk of organ loss, and even death.⁵ A woman who has lost a breast, which is related with femininity, has a distorted body image and may therefore ignore sexual intercourse with their spouse.⁵⁻⁷ They are concerned about how cancer and its treatment affect their daily routine, their family, and work.⁸ It has been reported that women who have undergone mastectomy experience depression, anxiety, and aggression and problems in sexual relationship which consequently affect their quality of life.⁹ Finally, studies have shown that 10-40% of the breast cancer patients have a negative partnered relationship.¹⁰

Marital satisfaction is an individual's consent from marital relationship.¹¹ Marital relationship is a source of emotional and social support for both the patients and their partners during cancer, so it is crucial to protect the quality of the relationship.¹²

satisfaction based on hardiness in women with breast cancer. **Methods:** A total of 100 women with breast cancer participated in this study and completed the Kobasa Personal View Survey and ENRICH (evaluation and nurturing relationship issues, communication, and happiness) Marital Satisfaction Scale. The study was conducted in Rasol Akrm Hospital, Tehran, Iran. Descriptive statistics, correlation, and linear regression were used for data

Background: When a couple confronts cancer, there is a major impact on their

psychosocial life. Marital life and satisfaction are important factors in the quality

of life of breast cancer patients. The aim of this research was to predict marital

Results: The results showed a significant relationship between hardiness and marital satisfaction. Moreover, hardiness determined 13% of the variance of marital satisfaction.

Conclusions: Hardiness as an efficient coping style in breast cancer is an important factor to improve perceived marital satisfaction in breast cancer. Therefore, healthcare professionals such as psycho-oncologists can promote resiliency in breast cancer patients by improving cognitive hardiness in their patients.

 (\cdot)

Marital dissatisfaction has a major effect on the physical health of breast cancer patients.¹³ Studies show that some of the factors impacting marital satisfaction include problem-solving, dealing with conflict, stress, and crisis in a positive manner.^{14,15}

Hardiness is a personality characteristic that functions as a resilience resource when facing stressful life events.¹⁶ Kobasa believed that hardiness is one of the variables that influence mental stress.¹⁷ It is a coping style that protects the individual against stress.¹⁷ Hardiness is an adaptive behavior in response to stressful events. Kobasa states that cognitively hardy individuals believe that they can control life events, have a commitment to the values and goals of life, and engage in their interpersonal relationship.¹⁸ So three specific dimensions of hardiness are control, commitment, and challenge that influence cognitive appraisal and behavior while confronting a traumatic experience.¹⁹ Hardiness has a positive correlation with physical and mental health.20

Hardy people use cognitive strategies for solving problems, and usually use positive coping for this purpose. Therefore, an efficient coping style increases the people's self-esteem and psychological satisfaction.¹⁷ Studies show that a fighting spirit is a part of the coping style of breast cancer survival.²¹

Few studies have addressed the relationship between hardiness and marital satisfaction. Avci et al. showed that the level of marital adjustment in breast cancer patients was about average.⁵ A study among Turkish women with breast cancer who underwent mastectomy revealed that the treatment strategy did not have a major impact on the marital relationship, but had an adverse effect on the sexual relationship.²² Hinnen *et al.*²³ showed that breast cancer itself might not be a negative factor for the relationship between couples. However, personal character and coping strategies play more important roles in maintaining marital satisfaction. Few studies have explored the role of hardiness in prediction of marital satisfaction; therefore, the aim of the present study was to describe the relationship between hardiness and marital satisfaction.

Methods

Women with breast cancer treated in Rasool Akram Hospital, Tehran, Iran participated in this

study. The convenience sampling method was employed to recruit the study participants. After obtaining necessary approvals from hospital authorities, the researchers gave necessary explanations about the project and the study questionnaire to breast cancer patients and included them after obtaining their informed consent.

Hardiness scale

The Personal Views Questionnaire was used to assess hardiness. Kobasa developed this scale in 1986 which consists of 50 items including three subscales: commitment (13 items), control (14 items), and challenge (17 items). Besharat estimated alpha coefficients from 0.88 to 0.93 for commitment, 0.85 to 0.94 for control, 0.89 to 0.95 for quarrel, and 0.78 to 0.94 for hardiness, indicating its good internal correlation.²⁴ When repeated in an interval of two to four weeks, the correlation coefficient of all factors ranged from 0.82 to 0.90 for commitment, 0.80 to 0.88 for control,0.79 to 0.87 for quarrel, and 0.80 to 0.88 for total hardiness.²⁴

ENRICH Marital Satisfaction Scale

This shortened scale which consists of 115 questions was developed by Fowres and Elson.²⁵This scale is a self-report tool for estimation of the validity of marital satisfaction with marital life. The revised scale consists of 4 subscales, including marital satisfaction, communications, solving conflicts, and ideal distortion containing 35 items. The items of the questionnaire are scored from 1 to 5 (strongly agree to strongly disagree). The alpha coefficient of the sub-scales of marital satisfaction, communication, solving conflicts are 86%, 84%, 83%, 84% and the validity of reexamination for every sub-scale are 81%, 90%, 92%, 93%, respectively.

Statistical analysis

SPSS software version 18 was used to analyze the data. Descriptive statistics, correlation, and linear regression were used for data analysis.

Results

A significant association was observed between hardiness and marital satisfaction (P = 0.001). The matrix of the correlation coefficients between hardiness and marital satisfaction is demonstrated in Table 1.

Table 1. Matrix of correlation coefficients between hardiness and marital satisfactions

	Marital Satisfaction	Marital Communication	Solve conflict	Ideal distortion
Hardiness	0.521**	0.298**	0.506**	0.506**
Commitment	0.452**	0.304**	0.296**	0.457**
Control	0.574*	0.462*	0.424*	0.561*
Challenge	0.067**	0.01**	0.041**	0.085**
* n > 0.05				

**p < 0.001

Nabizadeh, et al. Arch Breast Cancer 2016; Vol. 3, No. 3: 92-96

The result of linear regression for prediction of marital satisfaction based on hardiness components are presented in Table 2. According to the results, only 13% of the variance of marital satisfaction could be explained by commitment and challenge.

Independent variable	В	Т	Р
Control	0.11	0.57	NS
Commitment	0.27	4.15	0.01
Challenge	0.28	3.75	0.02

Discussion

Breast cancer is one of the most prevalent diseases in the world. It is caused due to uncommon and uncontrollable reproduction of cells under the effect of different factors such as genetic, glandular, and environmental factors.²⁶ It is well established that the struggle to cope with breast cancer can lead to negative outcomes such as anxiety, but it can also lead to positive outcomes including the perception of benefits or positive changes in the woman's perception of herself, her relationships, and her life priorities.²⁷ One of the most significant parts of the cancer patients' life quality is related to sexual function.²⁸ Women who undergo mastectomy experience mood disorders (depression, anxiety, aggression), sexual relationship problems, and family and social problems, which may affect their life quality and sexual relationship with their spouses. Desires and tendencies, as well as the quality of the sexual life are as complex, diverse and rich as the principles of life. Sex and sexuality are important parts of marriage.²⁹

Breast cancer challenges the women's hardiness.³⁰ Studies have shown that strong people use optimistic cognitive appraisal when dealing with difficulties, and they have positive coping styles (seeking support, accepting responsibility, and involve problem-solving task).³¹ Breast cancer patient's positive coping leads to a fighting spirit in patients and makes them eager to fight for recovery from breast cancer and to overcome the disease experience.³² On the other hand, they see the problem as an opportunity for growth.³³ One of the processes that improve the marital relationship is posttraumatic growth (PTG). PTG in women with breast cancer leads to reassessment of their values and makes them try to live each day in meaningful ways. They have a close relationship and convenient communication with their spouses, so they have perceived intimacy with their partners.³⁴ Several studies have shown that most patients experience positive changes after a diagnosis of cancer that leads to strengthening of interpersonal relationships and increased satisfaction with marital relationship.¹

The results of the current study showed a significant relation between hardiness and marital

satisfaction (P < 0.001), and hardiness determined 13% of the variance of marital satisfaction. This finding emphasizes that marital satisfaction is higher in families who have higher hardiness. Also, these results show a positive relationship between commitment and marital satisfaction in a way that marital satisfaction increases with increasing commitment. Our findings are consistent with the results of a study conducted by Kan et al. who discussed commitment and marital compatibility between couples.³⁵ Also, findings showed that challenge had a significant positive relationship with marital satisfaction. In other words, with an increase in challenge and flexibility against problems and changes due to development, marital satisfaction increased. The significant relationship between challenge and marital compatibility is determined which can be regarded as shared chapter between them. In fact, if we want to point is shared concept between them, it is better point to hardiness and compatibility, because persons who have cognitive compatibility have high flexibility.

Our study confirmed that husbands assisted their wives emotionally after cancer. Family concepts in Iran introduce a core family model, thus family members are intimate with each other. When encountering emotionally traumatic life events like breast cancer, they support each other psychologically. It may be one of the reasons which demonstrate insignificant differences between breast cancer patients and the general population.

Acknowledgement

The researcher would like to thank all the personnel of Rasool Akram Hospital and cancer patients who participated in this study.

References

- 1. Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. The Lancet 2005; 365(9472): 1687-717.
- 2. Engel J, Schlesinger-Raab A, Emeny R, Holzel D, Schubert-Fritschle G. Quality of life in women with localised breast cancer or malignant melanoma 2 years after initial treatment: a comparison. Int J Behav Med 2014; 21(3): 478-86.
- 3. Woloski-Wruble AC, Dekeyzer Ganz F, Jiang Y, Qiang WM, Kadmon I. Israeli and Chinese partners of women with breast cancer: a crosscultural view of marital issues. Psychooncology 2012; 21(3): 324-31.
- 4. Drageset S, Lindstrom TC, Giske T, Underlid K. Being in suspense: women's experiences awaiting breast cancer surgery. J Adv Nurs 2011; 67(9): 1941-51.
- 5. Avci IA, Okanli A, Karabulutlu E, Bilgili N. Women's marital adjustment and hopelessness

levels after mastectomy. European Journal of Oncology Nursing 2009; 13(4): 299-303.

- Shands ME, Lewis FM, Sinsheimer J, Cochrane BB. Core concerns of couples living with early stage breast cancer. Psychooncology 2006; 15(12): 1055-64.
- Fobair P, Stewart SL, Chang S, D'Onofrio C, Banks PJ, Bloom JR. Body image and sexual problems in young women with breast cancer. Psychooncology 2006; 15(7): 579-94.
- Filek-Vitkay JM. Communication patterns and marital satisfaction of couples when wives are undergoing initial cycles of chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: a qualitative study. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Science and Engineering 2004; 65: 2623.
- 9. Kashani FL, Vaziri S, Akbari ME, Far ZJ, Far NS. Sexual skills, sexual satisfaction and body image in women with breast cancer. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences 2014; 159: 206-13.
- 10. Dalton WT, 3rd, Nelson DV, Brobst JB, Lindsay JE, Friedman LC. Psychosocial variables associated with husbands' adjustment three months following wives' diagnosis of breast cancer. J Cancer Educ 2007; 22(4): 245-9.
- 11. Zainah A, Nasir R, Hashim RS, Yusof NM. Effects of demographic variables on marital satisfaction. Asian Social Science 2012; 8(9): 46.
- 12. Manne SL, Siegel S, Kashy D, Heckman CJ. Cancer-specific relationship awareness, relationship communication, and intimacy among couples coping with early-stage breast cancer. Journal of social and personal relationships 2014; 31(3): 314-34.
- Srivastava S, McGonigal KM, Richards JM, Butler EA, Gross JJ. Optimism in close relationships: How seeing things in a positive light makes them so. J Pers Soc Psychol 2006; 91(1): 143-53.
- 14. Stoker SL. Factors That Influence Marital Satisfaction in Couples Raising a Child with Cerebral Palsy. 2004.
- 15. Israfil-Bayli F, Lowe S, Spurgeon L, Toozs-Hobson P. Managing women presenting with urinary incontinence: is hardiness significant? International urogynecology journal2015;26(10):1437-40.
- 16. Jakšić N, Brajković L, Ivezić E, Topić R, Jakovljević M. The role of personality traits in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Psychiatria Danubina 2012; 24(3.): 256-66.
- 17. Kobasa SC. Stressful life events, personality, and health: an inquiry into hardiness. J Pers Soc Psychol 1979; 37(1): 1-11.
- Beasley M, Thompson T, Davidson J. Resilience in response to life stress: the effects of coping style and cognitive hardiness. Personality and Individual differences 2003; 34(1): 77-95.
- 19. Crowley BJ, Hobdy J. Psychological hardiness

and adjustment to life events in adulthood. Journal of adult development 2003; 10(4): 237-48.

- 20. Karimi S, Jaafari A, Ghamari M, Esfandiary M, Mazandarani FS, Daneshvar S, *et al.* A Comparison of Type II Diabetic Patients With Healthy People: Coping Strategies, Hardiness, and Occupational Life Quality. International journal of high risk behaviors & addiction 2016; 5(1): e24169.
- 21. Gonzalez P, Nuñez A, Wang-Letzkus M, Lim JW, Flores K, Nápoles AM. Coping with Breast Cancer: Reflections from Chinese-, Korean-, and Mexican-American Women. Health Psychol 2016; 35(1): 19-28.
- 22.Tan M, Karabulutlu E. Social support and hopelessness in Turkish patients with cancer. Cancer Nursing 2005; 28(3): 236.
- 23. Hinnen C, Hagedoorn M, Ranchor AV, Sanderman R. Relationship satisfaction in women: A longitudinal case-control study about the role of breast cancer, personal assertiveness, and partners' relationship-focused coping. Br J Health Psychol 2008; 13(4): 737-54.
- 24. Besharat MA. [Reliability, validity and factorial analysis of the Hardiness Scale]. Harakat 2008; 35:31-46.
- 25. Fowers BJ, Olson DH. Enrich marital inventory: a discriminant validity and cross-validation assessment. J Marital Fam Ther 1989; 15(1): 65-79.
- 26. Sarafino EP, Smith TW. Health psychology: Biopsychosocial interactions: John Wiley & Sons; 2014.
- 27. Tedeschi RG, Tedeschi RG, Park CL, Calhoun LG. Posttraumatic growth: Positive changes in the aftermath of crisis: Routledge; 1998.
- Gerrero MR, Weber BL. Recent advances in breast cancer biology. Curr Opin Oncol 2001; 13(6):415-9.
- 29. Basson R. Women's sexual dysfunction: revised and expanded definitions. CMAJ 2005; 172(10): 1327-33.
- Craft CA. A conceptual model of feminine hardiness. Holist Nurs Pract 1999; 13(3): 25-34.
- 31. Saboonchi F, Petersson L-M, Wennman-Larsen A, Alexanderson K, Brännström R, Vaez M. Changes in caseness of anxiety and depression in breast cancer patients during the first year following surgery: Patterns of transiency and severity of the distress response. Eur J Oncol Nurs 2014; 18(6): 598-604.
- 32. Cheng H, Sit JW, Twinn SF, Cheng KK, Thorne S. Coping with breast cancer survivorship in Chinese women: the role of fatalism or fatalistic voluntarism. Cancer Nurs 2013; 36(3): 236-44.
- 33. Pengilly JW, Dowd ET. Hardiness and social support as moderators of stress. J Clin Psychol 2000; 56(6): 813-20.



- 34. Canavarro MC, Silva S, Moreira H. Is the link between posttraumatic growth and anxious symptoms mediated by marital intimacy in breast cancer patients? Eur J Oncol Nurs 2015; 19(6): 673-9.
- 35. Schwartz P, Young L. Sexual satisfaction in committed relationships. Sex Res Soc Policy 2009; 6(1): 1-17.